

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 47 (2006) 5751–5754

Acid-catalyzed reaction behavior of 1-silylcyclopropylmethanols

Mitsunori Honda,* Takahito Mita, Toshiaki Nishizawa, Toru Sano, Masahito Segi and Tadashi Nakajima

Division of Material Sciences, Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Kanazawa University, Kakuma-machi, Kanazawa, Ishikawa 920-1192, Japan

> Received 9 May 2006; revised 30 May 2006; accepted 1 June 2006 Available online 21 June 2006

Abstract—Treatment of 1-silylcyclopropylmethanols with TsOH in methanol gives different homoallyl ethers depending upon the configuration of substituents on cyclopropane ring and the kinds of substituents on carbinyl carbon. Especially, the reaction of cyclopropylmethanols having no substituents on the same side with silyl group on cyclopropane ring proceeds to give the corresponding E-homoallyl ethers with high stereoselectivity. The following protiodesilylation of resulting homoallyl ethers proceeds with retention of configuration.

 $© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.$

Cyclopropylcarbinyl cations are interesting species^{[1](#page-3-0)} and have been used extensively as useful intermediates in organic synthesis.[2](#page-3-0) Especially, homoallylic rearrangement of cyclopropylcarbinyl cation is known as the Julia olefin synthesis that leads to stereoselective formation of the E-homoallyl derivatives (Scheme 1).^{[3–5](#page-3-0)} In contrast, we have recently reported that the homoallylic rearrangement of cyclopropylsilylcarbinyl cation having a n-, s-alkyl group on carbinyl carbon led to stereoselective formation of E-silyl-substituted homoallyl deriva-tives^{[6](#page-3-0)} and the following protiodesilylation proceeded with retention of configuration (Scheme 2).^{5a} In consequence, we presented that a bulky silyl group acted as a directing group for the stereoselectivity on this olefin synthesis and the geometry of the alkene moiety of protiodesilylated products was the opposite to that of Julia reaction using the corresponding cyclopropylmethanols. However, the reaction of cyclopropylsilylcarbinyl cation having a bulky alkyl group such as a t-butyl

Scheme 1. Julia olefin synthesis.

0040-4039/\$ - see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.06.002

Scheme 2. Stereoselective construction of Z-homoallyl derivatives from cyclopropylsilylmethanols.

group on carbinyl carbon gave the undesired Z-isomer exclusively. The above observation led to us to attempt the homoallylic rearrangement of cyclopropylcarbinyl cation having a silyl group at the 1-position of cyclopropane ring, 7 which may afford E-silyl-substituted homoallyl derivatives effectively. In this letter, we describe the generation of cyclopropylcarbinyl cations by the reaction of 1-silylcyclopropylmethanols with acid-catalyst and then following the rearrangement reaction behavior. Furthermore, the protiodesilylation 8 of the resulting silyl-substituted homoallylic compounds for stereoselective formation of Z-homoallyl derivatives is reported.

The preparation of 1-silylcyclopropylmethanols 1–8 was accomplished as follows [\(Scheme 3\)](#page-1-0). The treatment of

Keywords: Cyclopropylmethanol; Homoallylic rearrangement; Trimethylsilyl group; Protiodesilylation.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 76 234 4789; fax: +81 76 234 4800; e-mail: honda@t.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

Scheme 3. Preparation of 1-trimethylsilylcyclopropylmethanols.

dibromocyclopropanes with n-butyllithium followed by reaction with chlorotrimethylsilane gave the corresponding trimethylsilylcyclopropane derivatives in high yields[.9](#page-3-0) The resulting cyclopropane derivatives were treated with n-butyllithium and the subsequent reaction with aldehyde gave the desired cyclopropylmethanols 1–8. Incidentally, the bicyclic compound 8 was yielded as a mixture of cis and trans isomers. Therefore, this mixture was separated by column chromatography on silica-gel, and then each isomer was used as a starting material.

The silylcyclopropylmethanols 1–3 having a phenyl group that is located on the opposite site of silyl group on cyclopropane ring were treated with TsOH in methanol. The homoallylic rearrangement proceeded to give the Z-isomer of silyl-substituted homoallyl ethers 9–11 in moderate to high yields, with high stereoselectivity independent of the kind of the substituent on carbinyl carbon (Scheme 4). $10,11$ In this reaction, the cleavage of C1–C2 bond of the cyclopropane ring was observed exclusively. Similarly, the reaction of 2,3-trans-dimethylcyclopropylmethanols 4 and 5 was smoothly proceeded to afford the corresponding 1,2-anti-3Z-homoallyl ethers 12 and 13 (Scheme 5). It should be noted that the epimerization was not observed in this rearrangement reaction.

More noteworthy, the same reaction of 2,3-cis isomer 6 having a phenyl group on the carbinyl carbon afforded the 1,2-syn-3E-isomer 14 selectively (Scheme 6). However, the reaction of 7 having a t-butyl group on the car-

Scheme 4. Homoallylic rearrangement of 2-phenyl-1-silylcyclopropylmethanols.

Scheme 5. Homoallylic rearrangement of 1-silyl substituted 2,3-transdimethylcyclopropylmethanols.

binyl carbon provided 1,2-anti-3Z-homoallyl ether derivative 15 having the t-butyl group at allylic position.

In the reaction of bicyclic compound 8, cis isomer reacted to give the corresponding anti-E-isomer 16 as with the reaction of 6 (Scheme 7). On the other hand, the reaction of trans-8 proceeded to afford Z-homoallyl ether 17 (Scheme 8).

These results suggest that the stereochemistry of homoallylic rearrangement of the silylcyclopropylmethanols is dependent on the configuration of substituents on the three-membered ring. Thus, the following mechanism for the reaction was proposed ([Schemes 9 and 10](#page-2-0)). For the reaction of cyclopropylmethanols having a substituent (Ph or Me) located on the opposite site of silyl group on cyclopropane ring, the acid protonates at the oxygen atom of starting alcohols and bisected cation species^{3a,5,12} A and **B** are formed ([Scheme 9\)](#page-2-0). The formation of bisected cation A seems to be favored compared to B by the less strain between R group and $R¹$ group on the three-membered ring. Thus, Z-silylalkenes 9–13 are formed selectively. Since the attack of methanol to cation intermediate A proceeds in an S_N2' manner, no epimerization is observed at all. On the other hand, in the case of the reaction using 2,3-cis-dimethylcyclopropylmethanols, bisected cation C is formed exclusively ([Scheme 10](#page-2-0)). As a result, the reaction leads to $1,2\text{-}syn$ -3E-silylalkene 14 with high stereoselectivity. In contrast, to avoid the formation of steric hindered trisubstituted alkene, the cation C would be transformed to a more stable cation species **D** via bicyclobutonium ions^{1a,13} in the reaction of 2,3-cis-dimethylcyclopropylmethanols having a t-butyl group on the carbinyl carbon. Conse-

Scheme 6. Homoallylic rearrangement of 1-silyl substituted 2,3-cisdimethylcyclopropylmethanols.

Scheme 7. Homoallylic rearrangement of 7-silyl substituted *cis*-bicyclo[4.1.0]hept-7-ylmethanol 8.

Scheme 8. Homoallylic rearrangement of 7-silyl substituted transbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-7-ylmethanol 8.

Scheme 9. Mechanism of the homoallylic rearrangements of silylcyclopropylmethanols 1–5.

Scheme 10. Mechanism of the homoallylic rearrangements of 2,3-cis-dimethylcyclopropylmethanols.

quently, 1,2-anti-3Z-silylalkene 15 was yielded with high stereoselectivity. The reaction of bicyclic compounds 8 would proceed similarly as mentioned above.

The protiodesilylation of vinylsilane proceeds with complete retention of the configuration.⁸ Thus, protiodesilylation of resulting E-silyl-substituted homoallyl derivative 14 was examined and the result is shown in Scheme 11. Treatment of 14 with tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) in hexamethylphosphoric triamide gave the corresponding Z-protiodesilylated compound 18. Thus, it was found that the geometry of the alkene moiety of protiodesilylated products is the opposite to that of Julia reaction using the corresponding cyclopropylmethanols.

Stereochemical assignment of homoallyl derivatives was performed as follows (Scheme 12). The reaction of silylcyclopropylmethanol 6 with TsOH in water/THF proceeded to give the corresponding homoallyl alcohol 19

Scheme 12. Conversion of 6 into tetrahydrofuran derivative 21.

as with the reaction in methanol mentioned above. Treatment of the resulting alcohol 19 with TBAF followed by intramolecular bromo etherification with NBS gave the corresponding tetrahydrofuran derivative 21. [14](#page-3-0) The vicinal coupling constant observed between the protons on C-4 and \overline{C} -5 in the ¹H NMR spectrum and the NOE experiments clearly indicated the trans arrangement of two methyl groups, which is correlated to 1,2-syn-configuration in homoallyl alcohol 19. Thus, the stereoconfiguration of other products was predicted by comparing with the chemical shifts and coupling constants.

In conclusion, acid-catalyzed homoallylic rearrange-Scheme 11. Protiodesilylation of homoallyl ether 14. ment of 1-silylcyclopropylmethanols has been described.

1-Silylcyclopropylmethanols reacted with TsOH in methanol to afford different homoallyl ethers depending upon the configuration of substituents on cyclopropane ring and the kinds of substituents on carbinyl carbon. Especially, the reaction of cyclopropylmethanols having no substituents on the same side with silyl group on cyclopropane ring proceeded to give the corresponding E-homoallyl ethers. The protiodesilylation of resulting homoallyl ethers proceeded with retention of configuration, thus it was found that the geometry of the alkene moiety of protiodesilylated products is the opposite to that of Julia reaction using the corresponding cyclopropylmethanols. Further studies are aimed at expanding the scope of these reactions, and introduction of other functional group instead of silyl group and the following the homoallylic rearrangement reaction is now in progress in our laboratory. The results will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Japan.

References and notes

- 1. For leading references on cyclopropylcarbinyl cations, see: (a) Olah, G. A.; Reddy, V. P.; Prakash, G. K. S. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 69–95; (b) Prakash, G. K. S. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 3661–3676.
- 2. For recent reports about utilization of cyclopropylcarbinyl cation in organic synthesis, see: (a) Bentley, T. W.; Engels, B.; Hupp, T.; Bogdan, E.; Christl, M. J. Chem. Org. 2006, 71, 1018–1026; (b) Roubelakis, M. M.; Vougioukalakis, G. C.; Angelis, Y. S.; Orfanopoulos, M. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 39–42; (c) Nakamura, I.; Kamada, M.; Yamamoto, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 2903–2906.
- 3. For reports about Julia ring opening of a cyclopropylmethanol, see: (a) Brimble, M. A.; Prabaharan, H. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 2113–2128; (b) Sarel, S.; Yovell, J.; Sarel-Imber, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1968, 7, 577–588; (c) Brady, S. F.; Ilton, M. A.; Johnson, W. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 2882–2888; (d) Julia, M.; Julia, S.; Guëgan, R. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1960, 1072-1079; (e) Julia, M.; Julia, S.; Tchen, S.-Y. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1961, 1849–1853.
- 4. For metal-catalyzed transformation of cyclopropylmethanol into homoallylic derivatives, see: (a) Maeda, Y.; Nishimura, T.; Uemura, S. Chem. Lett. 2005, 34, 380–381; (b) Cossy, J.; Blanchard, N.; Meyer, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 1801–1805.
- 5. For reports about the homoallylic rearrangement of cyclopropylsilylcarbinyl cation, see: (a) Honda, M.; Yamamoto, Y.; Tsuchida, H.; Segi, M.; Nakajima, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 6465–6468; (b) Sakaguchi, K.; Higashino, M.; Ohfune, Y. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 6647-6658; (c) Sakaguchi, K.; Fujita, M.; Ohfune, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 4313–4316.
- 6. For reports about synthesis and reaction of silyl-substituted homoallyl alcohol, see: (a) Semeyn, C.; Blaauw, R. H.; Hiemstra, H.; Speckamp, W. N. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3426–3427; (b) Dobbs, A. P.; Martinovic, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 7055–7057; (c) Miura, K.; Hosomi, A. Synlett 2003, 143–155.
- 7. (a) For reviews on the cyclopropane chemistry, see: Small Ring Compounds in Organic Synthesis I–V; de Meijere, A., Ed.; Topics in Current Chemistry; Springer: Berlin, Heidelberg; 1986, 1987, 1988, 1990, 1996; Vols. 133, 135, 144, 155, 178; (b) Wong, H. N. C.; Hon, M.-Y.; Tse, C.- W.; Yip, Y.-C.; Tanko, J.; Hudlicky, T. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 165–198; (c) de Meijere, A.; Wessjohann, L. Synlett 1990, 20–32.
- 8. Oda, H.; Sato, M.; Morisawa, Y.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 2877–2880.
- 9. Kitatani, K.; Hiyama, T.; Nozaki, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 949–951.
- 10. Typical procedure for the homoallylic rearrangement of 1 silylcyclopropylmethanol with TsOH:1-silylcyclopropylmethanol solution (2 mmol in 10 ml of methanol) was placed in a round-bottomed flask under argon, followed by the addition of TsOH (2.4 mmol) at 0° C. The mixture was stirred for 2 h. The reaction was quenched with a sodium hydrogen carbonate aqueous solution. The product was extracted with pentane, washed with brine, dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄, concentrated under reduced pressure, and separated with silica gel chromatography using a mixture of hexane and dichloromethane as the eluent, affording the corresponding homoallyl ethers. Selected spectral properties of compound 14 are as follows. IR (neat): 3060, 3025, 2950, 2940, 1615, 1455, 1250, 1105, 835 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.31–7.16 (m, 5H), 6.87 (s, 1H), 3.31 (dq, 1H, $J = 8.1$, 6.1 Hz), 3.23 (s, 3H), 3.03 (dq, 1H, $J = 8.1$, 7.5 Hz), 1.06 (d, 3H, $J = 6.1$ Hz), 1.00 (d, 3H, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 0.22 (s, 9H). HRMS calcd for $C_{16}H_{26}OSi$ (M⁺) 262.1753, found 262.1757.
- 11. Cyclopropylidene derivatives were formed as the byproduct via Peterson olefination, when moderate yields were observed.
- 12. For leading references on the bisected conformation of cyclopropylcarbinyl cations, see: (a) Lowry, T. H.; Richardson, K. S. Mechanism and Theory in Organic Chemistry, 3rd ed.; Harper & Row: New York, 1987; (b) Haywood-Farmer, J. Chem. Rev. 1974, 74, 315–350; (c) Wilcox, C. F.; Loew, L. M.; Hoffmann, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 8192-8193.
- 13. For reviews on the bicyclobutonium ions, see: (a) Richey, H. G. In Carbonium Ions; Olah, G. A., Schleyer, P. v. R., Eds.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1972; Vol. III, Chapter 25; (b) Wiberg, K. B.; Hess, B. A.; Ashe, A. J. In Carbonium Ions; Olah, G. A., Schleyer, P. v. R., Eds.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1972; Vol. III, Chapter 26; (c) Siehl, H.-U.; Müller, T. In The Chemistry of Organic Silicon Compounds; Rappoport, Z., Apeloig, Y., Eds.; Wiley: Chichester, UK, 1998; Vol. 2, Chapter 12.
- 14. For reports about bromo etherification with NBS, see: (a) Hart, D. J.; Leroy, V.; Merriman, G. H.; Young, D. G. J. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 5670–5680; (b) Schlessinger, R. H.; Nugent, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1116– 1118; (c) Corey, E. J.; Pearce, H. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 5841–5843.